IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

-

WRIT PETITION NO: 12944 of 2005

Between:

G. Sunitha, W/o. Sudheer Babu,
R/o. Inkollu, Prakasam district.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector,

Ongole, Prakasam District.
2 The Joint Collector,

Ongole, Prakasam District.
3 The Revenue Divisional Officer,

Ongole, Prakasam District.
4 The Mandal Revenue Officer,

Inkollu, Prakasam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of MANDAMUS declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not granting the interim orders of stay of operation of the orders of the 2nd respondent dt.16-5-05 in Rc.CS2/D.Dis/31/2005 pending disposal of the appeal is illegal, arbitrary, unreasonable against the principle of natural justice and consequently direct the

respondents to continue the petitioner as Fair Price Shop Dealer of Inkollu Village pending disposal of the appeal on the file of 1st respondent by suspending the proceedings of the 2nd respondent dated 16-5-2005.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.T.RAJENDRA PRASAD

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

-

ORAL ORDER:

The petitioner's authorization was suspended by the third respondent by proceedings dated 23-12-2004. Aggrieved,
the petitioner filed an appeal to the second respondent. The appeal was dismissed on 16-05-2005. The petitioner carried the matter further by way of an appeal to the first respondent on
27-05-2005 along with an application for stay of the orders of suspension passed by the third respondent, as confirmed by the second respondent. Petitioner's grievance is that the first respondent is neither disposing of the appeal, nor even his application for interlocutory relief.

In the circumstances, having heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner, and the learned Government Pleader for Civil Supplies, Writ Petition itself is disposed of directing the first respondent to, expeditiously and in any event within a period of three weeks from today, consider and dispose of either the Interlocutory Application or the substantive Appeal of the petitioner. No order as to costs.

17-06-2005

Note:

Furnish CC

in two days.

B/o

LUR

Copy to:

1 The District Collector, Ongole, Prakasam District.
2 The Joint Collector, Ongole, Prakasam District.
3 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Ongole, Prakasam District.
4 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Inkollu, Prakasam District.

5 Two C.Cs. to G.P. for Civil Supplies,

High Court Buildings, High

Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

6. Two CD copies.

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**SPJS**}*